

ON THE PARTIAL FRACTION DECOMPOSITION OF THE RESTRICTED PARTITION GENERATING FUNCTION

CORMAC O'SULLIVAN

ABSTRACT. We provide new formulas for the coefficients in the partial fraction decomposition of the restricted partition generating function. These techniques allow us to partially resolve a recent conjecture of Sills and Zeilberger. We also describe upcoming work, giving a resolution to Rademacher's conjecture on the asymptotics of these coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $p_N(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into at most N parts. As Euler showed, these restricted partitions have the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_N(n) q^n = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - q^j}. \quad (1.1)$$

Rademacher's $C_{hk\ell}(N)$ coefficients, see [22, Eq. (130.5)], are uniquely defined in the partial fraction decomposition of this generating function:

$$\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - q^j} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ (h,k)=1}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor N/k \rfloor} \frac{C_{hk\ell}(N)}{(q - e^{2\pi i h/k})^\ell}. \quad (1.2)$$

For a given N , knowing all the coefficients $C_{hk\ell}(N)$ allows us to express $p_N(n)$ as a finite sum,

$$p_N(n) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ (h,k)=1}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor N/k \rfloor} C_{hk\ell}(N) \binom{\ell - 1 + n}{\ell - 1} (-1)^\ell e^{-2\pi i h(\ell+n)/k}, \quad (1.3)$$

where (1.3) follows from using the binomial theorem to write the right side of (1.2) as a power series in q , and equating coefficients with the left side of (1.1).

So, for example, when $N = 2$ we have

$$\frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)} = \frac{C_{011}(2)}{q-1} + \frac{C_{012}(2)}{(q-1)^2} + \frac{C_{121}(2)}{q+1}$$

for $C_{011}(2) = -1/4$, $C_{012}(2) = 1/2$, $C_{121}(2) = 1/4$ and (1.3) implies $p_2(n) = (2n + 3 + (-1)^n)/4$. As in [22, pp. 221-222], this may be written as $p_2(n) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$.

Writing in [22, p. 301], Rademacher lamented the lack of formulas for $C_{hk\ell}(N)$. Andrews, in [1], provided the first one as we see later in (8.1). However, Andrews' formula does not allow easy calculation. Very recently, Sills and Zeilberger in [23] showed a fast recursive method to compute $C_{hk\ell}(N)$ for large N and, when the difference $N - \ell$ is fixed, they solved the recursion to prove formulas like

$$C_{01N}(N) = \frac{(-1)^N}{N!}, \quad C_{01(N-1)}(N) = \frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{4(N-2)!},$$

as we describe in Section 7.

In this paper we provide many new and explicit expressions for the coefficients $C_{hk\ell}(N)$. Section 2 develops formulas for the simplest case $h/k = 0/1$, showing directly that $C_{01\ell}(N)$ is always rational. For

Date: Sept 1, 2012.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11P82, 11B68.

Key words and phrases. Restricted partition, partial fraction decomposition, Bernoulli polynomial, Sylvester wave.

Support for this project was provided by a PSC-CUNY Award, jointly funded by The Professional Staff Congress and The City University of New York.

example,

$$C_{011}(N) = \frac{(-1)^N}{N!} \sum_{j_0+j_1+j_2+\dots+j_N=N-1} B_{j_1} B_{j_2} \dots B_{j_N} \frac{1^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \dots N^{j_N}}{j_0! j_1! j_2! \dots j_N!} \quad (1.4)$$

is a special case of (2.8), with B_j the j th Bernoulli number. In Sections 3 - 5 we treat the general case, describing the closely related work of Sylvester and Glaisher in Section 4. The intriguing conjecture of Rademacher on the behavior of $C_{hkl}(N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ is discussed in Section 6. This old conjecture has motivated much of the study of these coefficients and we describe a forthcoming result on the asymptotics of $C_{011}(N)$ that should in fact disprove it. In Section 7 we use the techniques we have developed to partially resolve a conjecture of Sills and Zeilberger, and in the last section Andrews' method is extended to find further formulas for $C_{hkl}(N)$.

2. INITIAL FORMULAS FOR RADEMACHER'S COEFFICIENTS

From the definition (1.2) we see

$$C_{hkl}(N) = \operatorname{Res}_{q=e^{2\pi i h/k}} \left(q - e^{2\pi i h/k} \right)^{\ell-1} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1-q^j}.$$

With the natural change of variables $q = e^{2\pi i z}$ we obtain

$$C_{hkl}(N) = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}_{z=h/k} \frac{e^{2\pi i z} (e^{2\pi i z} - e^{2\pi i h/k})^{\ell-1}}{(1 - e^{2\pi i z})(1 - e^{2\pi i 2z}) \dots (1 - e^{2\pi i Nz})} \quad (2.1)$$

where we used that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{q=e^{2\pi i c}} f(q) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=c} f(e^{2\pi i z}) \cdot 2\pi i \cdot e^{2\pi i z}$$

which is implied by part (i) of the following result of Jacobi on residue composition (with $g(z) = e^{2\pi i z}$).

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $g(z)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $z = c$ and suppose $f(z)$ is meromorphic in a neighborhood of $z = g(c)$.*

(i) *If $g'(c) \neq 0$ then*

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=g(c)} f(z) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=c} f(g(z))g'(z).$$

(ii) *More generally, if $g(z) - g(c)$ has a zero of order m at $z = c$ then*

$$m \operatorname{Res}_{z=g(c)} f(z) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=c} f(g(z))g'(z).$$

For the proof (it is really a result in formal power series) see [9, Theorem 1.2.2, p. 15] or the original [13]. The above derivation of (2.1) is based on an almost identical calculation due to Beck, Gessel and Komatsu in [3, pp. 3-4] where they derive formulas for the 'polynomial part' of the restricted partition function. In fact, as a referee has pointed out, the work in [3] is a rediscovery of results of Sylvester [26] and Glaisher [8]; see the discussion in Section 4.

From now on we let $\rho := e^{2\pi i h/k}$. Replacing $2\pi i z$ in (2.1) by z and then $z + 2\pi i h/k$ we obtain

$$C_{hkl}(N) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=2\pi i h/k} \frac{e^z (e^z - \rho)^{\ell-1}}{(1 - e^z)(1 - e^{2z}) \dots (1 - e^{Nz})} \quad (2.2)$$

$$= (-1)^N \rho^\ell \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} e^z (e^z - 1)^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho e^z - 1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2 e^{2z} - 1} \right) \dots \left(\frac{1}{\rho^N e^{Nz} - 1} \right) \quad (2.3)$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^N \rho^\ell}{N!} [\text{coeff. of } z^{N-1}] e^z (e^z - 1)^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{z}{\rho e^z - 1} \right) \left(\frac{2z}{\rho^2 e^{2z} - 1} \right) \dots \left(\frac{Nz}{\rho^N e^{Nz} - 1} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Then (2.4) can be made more explicit by inserting the relevant power series. For the remainder of this section we focus on $h/k = 0/1$ so that $\rho = 1$.

Equation (2.4) implies

$$C_{01\ell}(N) = \frac{(-1)^N}{N!} [\text{coeff. of } z^{N-\ell}] e^z \left(\frac{e^z - 1}{z} \right)^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1} \right) \left(\frac{2z}{e^{2z} - 1} \right) \dots \left(\frac{Nz}{e^{Nz} - 1} \right). \quad (2.5)$$

Recall the well-known power series

$$\frac{ze^{tz}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(t) \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad (|z| < 2\pi) \quad (2.6)$$

$$\left(\frac{e^z - 1}{z}\right)^m = m! \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m+n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{z^n}{(m+n)!} \quad (m \geq 0) \quad (2.7)$$

with $B_n(t)$ the n th Bernoulli polynomial, $B_n := B_n(0)$ the n th Bernoulli number and $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\}$ the Stirling number, denoting the number of ways to partition a set of size n into m non-empty subsets (see [10, Eq. (7.49)] for (2.7)).

Proposition 2.2. *We have*

$$C_{01\ell}(N) = \frac{(-1)^N (\ell-1)!}{N!} \sum_{i+j_0+j_1+j_2+\dots+j_N=N-\ell} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell-1+j_0 \\ \ell-1 \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{B_{j_1} B_{j_2} \dots B_{j_N}}{i! (\ell-1+j_0)!} \frac{1^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \dots N^{j_N}}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_N!} \quad (2.8)$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^N (\ell-1)!}{N!} \sum_{j_0+j_1+j_2+\dots+j_N=N-\ell} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell-1+j_0 \\ \ell-1 \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{B_{j_1} B_{j_2} \dots B_{j_N}}{(\ell-1+j_0)!} \frac{(-1)^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \dots N^{j_N}}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_N!}. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. It is clear, using (2.6) with $t = 0$, that

$$\left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1}\right) \left(\frac{2z}{e^{2z} - 1}\right) \dots \left(\frac{Nz}{e^{Nz} - 1}\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} z^r \sum_{j_1+j_2+\dots+j_N=r} B_{j_1} B_{j_2} \dots B_{j_N} \frac{1^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \dots N^{j_N}}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_N!}.$$

Combining this expansion with the power series for $e^z (= \sum_i z^i / i!)$ and $(\frac{e^z - 1}{z})^{\ell-1}$ given by (2.7) yields (2.8). For (2.9), combine e^z and $(\frac{z}{e^z - 1})$ noting that

$$\frac{ze^z}{e^z - 1} = \frac{-z}{e^{-z} - 1} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r B_r \frac{z^r}{r!}.$$

Of course the coefficients $(-1)^r B_r$ above must equal $B_r(1)$ by (2.6), so we can replace the factor $B_{j_1} \cdot (-1)^{j_1}$ in (2.9) by the neater $B_{j_1}(1) \cdot 1^{j_1}$. $\square$

For a perhaps more natural treatment, rewrite (2.5) as

$$C_{01\ell}(N) = \frac{(-1)^N}{N!} [\text{coeff. of } z^{N-\ell}] e^z \left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1}\right)^{1-\ell} \left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1}\right) \left(\frac{2z}{e^{2z} - 1}\right) \dots \left(\frac{Nz}{e^{Nz} - 1}\right). \quad (2.10)$$

We need a generalization of (2.6). The Bernoulli polynomials of order a , denoted $B_n^{(a)}(t)$, are defined by

$$\left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1}\right)^a e^{tz} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(a)}(t) \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad (|z| < 2\pi)$$

as in [19, Eq. (24.16.1)]. It may be shown that $B_n^{(a)}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree n in the variables a, t . For $a = 1$, $B_n^{(1)}(t)$ reduces to the usual Bernoulli polynomial $B_n(t)$. For $t = 0$, $B_n^{(a)} := B_n^{(a)}(0)$ is the Nörlund polynomial in a .

Proposition 2.3. *We have*

$$C_{01\ell}(N) = \frac{(-1)^N}{N!} \sum_{j_0+j_1+j_2+\dots+j_N=N-\ell} B_{j_0}^{(1-\ell)}(1) \cdot B_{j_1} B_{j_2} \dots B_{j_N} \frac{1^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \dots N^{j_N}}{j_0! j_1! j_2! \dots j_N!} \quad (2.11)$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^N (\ell-1)!}{N!} \sum_{j_0+j_1+j_2+\dots+j_N=N-\ell} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell+j_0 \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{B_{j_1} B_{j_2} \dots B_{j_N}}{(\ell-1+j_0)!} \frac{1^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \dots N^{j_N}}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_N!}. \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. Equation (2.11) is clear from (2.10). Then (2.12) follows with

$$\frac{B_j^{(1-\ell)}(1)}{j!} = \frac{(\ell-1)!}{(\ell-1+j)!} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell+j \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right\}, \quad (j \geq 0, \ell \geq 1). \quad (2.13)$$

In turn, (2.13) is a consequence of the identities

$$a \cdot B_n^{(a+1)}(1) = (a - n)B_n^{(a)}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a \in \mathbb{C}) \quad (2.14)$$

$$B_n^{(-r)} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} r+n \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} / \binom{r+n}{r}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}) \quad (2.15)$$

where (2.14) is from [11, Eq. (2.17)] and (2.15) is [5, Eq. (3)]. $\square$

The formulas for $C_{01\ell}(N)$ in Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 simplify for $\ell = 1$. For example, since $\{0\}^j = \delta_{0,j}$, (2.8) and (2.12) imply the formula (1.4) we saw in the introduction.

We may recast our results using ideas from [25], [3, pp. 3-4]. The expansion

$$\log \left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1} \right) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m-1} \frac{B_m z^m}{m \cdot m!} \quad (2.16)$$

may be proved by differentiating both sides. Define

$$s_m(N) := 1^m + 2^m + \cdots + N^m \quad (2.17)$$

and, after exponentiating (2.16), we obtain, (similarly to [3, Eq. (6)]),

$$\begin{aligned} e^z \left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1} \right)^{1-\ell} &= \left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1} \right) \left(\frac{2z}{e^{2z} - 1} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{Nz}{e^{Nz} - 1} \right) \\ &= \exp \left(z + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m-1} \frac{B_m z^m}{m \cdot m!} (1 - \ell + 1^m + 2^m + \cdots + N^m) \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} z^r \sum_{j_0+1j_1+2j_2+\cdots+rj_r=r} \frac{1}{j_0!j_1!j_2!\cdots j_r!} \left(\frac{B_1}{1 \cdot 1!} (s_1(N) + 1 - \ell) \right)^{j_1} \cdots \left(\frac{(-1)^{r-1} B_r}{r \cdot r!} (s_r(N) + 1 - \ell) \right)^{j_r}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Combining (2.18) with (2.10) produces

Proposition 2.4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} C_{01\ell}(N) &= \frac{(-1)^N}{N!} \sum_{j_0+1j_1+2j_2+\cdots+Nj_N=N-\ell} \frac{1}{j_0!j_1!j_2!\cdots j_N!} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{B_1}{1 \cdot 1!} (s_1(N) + 1 - \ell) \right)^{j_1} \cdots \left(\frac{(-1)^{N-1} B_N}{N \cdot N!} (s_N(N) + 1 - \ell) \right)^{j_N}. \end{aligned}$$

(The indices $j_{N-\ell+1}, \dots, j_N$ are included only to simplify the statement.) The method of proof of Proposition 2.4 will be important in Section 7.

3. FORMULAS FOR GENERAL RADEMACHER COEFFICIENTS

Following Apostol in [2, Eq. (3.1)], write

$$\frac{z}{\rho e^z - 1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_m(\rho) \frac{z^m}{m!} \quad (\rho \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (3.1)$$

Then the next result has a similar proof to Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 3.1. *We have*

$$C_{hk\ell}(N) = \frac{(-1)^N \rho^\ell}{N!} \sum_{j_0+j_1+\cdots+j_N=N-\ell} B_{j_0}^{(1-\ell)}(1) \cdot \beta_{j_1}(\rho) \beta_{j_2}(\rho^2) \cdots \beta_{j_N}(\rho^N) \frac{1^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \cdots N^{j_N}}{j_0!j_1!j_2!\cdots j_N!} \quad (3.2)$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^N \rho^\ell (\ell-1)!}{N!} \sum_{j_0+j_1+\cdots+j_N=N-\ell} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell+j_0 \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{\beta_{j_1}(\rho) \beta_{j_2}(\rho^2) \cdots \beta_{j_N}(\rho^N)}{(\ell-1+j_0)!} \frac{1^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \cdots N^{j_N}}{j_1!j_2!\cdots j_N!}. \quad (3.3)$$

Clearly,

$$\beta_m(1) = B_m \quad (m \geq 0) \quad (3.4)$$

so that (3.2), (3.3) reduce to (2.11) and (2.12) for $h/k = 0/1$. To understand the Apostol-Bernoulli coefficients $\beta_m(\xi)$ for all ξ we next express them in terms of the more familiar Bernoulli polynomials. For good measure we see they also have natural expressions in terms of Stirling numbers and the Hurwitz zeta function

$$\zeta(s, \alpha) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (n + \alpha)^{-s}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We have*

$$\beta_m(\xi) = (-1)^{m-1} m \sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ \begin{matrix} m \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{(j-1)!}{(\xi-1)^j}, \quad (\xi \neq 1), \quad (3.5)$$

$$= k^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \xi^j B_m(j/k), \quad (\xi^k = 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}). \quad (3.6)$$

Also, for $a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $0 < a/b < 1$ and $m \geq 2$,

$$\beta_m(e^{2\pi i a/b}) = -\frac{m!}{(2\pi i)^m} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(n - a/b)^m} \quad (3.7)$$

$$= -\frac{m!}{(2\pi i)^m} (\zeta(m, 1 - a/b) + (-1)^m \zeta(m, a/b)). \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. An induction argument using the basic relation

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ j-1 \end{matrix} \right\} + j \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\}$$

shows

$$\frac{d^n}{dz^n} \frac{1}{\xi e^z - 1} = (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{(j-1)!}{(\xi e^z - 1)^j}$$

and (3.5) follows.

When $\xi^k = 1$ we have

$$e^{kz} - 1 = (\xi e^z)^k - 1 = (\xi e^z - 1) (1 + \xi e^z + \cdots + (\xi e^z)^{k-2} + (\xi e^z)^{k-1}).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z}{\xi e^z - 1} &= \frac{z}{e^{kz} - 1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \xi^j e^{jz} \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \xi^j \frac{kz \cdot e^{(j/k) \cdot kz}}{e^{kz} - 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \xi^j \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m(j/k) k^m \frac{z^m}{m!} \end{aligned}$$

and this implies (3.6).

Replace $B_m(x)$ in (3.6) with its Fourier expansion [19, Eq. (24.8.3)]

$$B_m(x) = -\frac{m!}{(2\pi i)^m} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{e^{2\pi i n x}}{n^m} \quad (m \geq 2, x \in [0, 1]).$$

Computing the resulting sum over j and rearranging shows (3.7) and (3.8). □

Thus we have from (3.5), when $\xi \neq 1$,

$$\beta_0(\xi) = 0, \quad \beta_1(\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi - 1}, \quad \beta_2(\xi) = \frac{-2}{\xi - 1} + \frac{-2}{(\xi - 1)^2} = \frac{-2\xi}{(\xi - 1)^2}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

A formula equivalent to (3.5) was given by Glaisher in [8, §97], (in his notation $F_n(x) = -\beta_n(x)/(n \cdot n!)$ for $n \geq 2$ and the difference $\Delta^j 0^n$ is $j! \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right\}$). Glaisher provides four more variations of (3.5) in [8, §100] with, for

example, the second and fourth being

$$\beta_m(\xi) = m \sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ \begin{matrix} m-1 \\ j-1 \end{matrix} \right\} (-\xi)^{j-1} \frac{(j-1)!}{(\xi-1)^j}, \quad (\xi \neq 1, m \geq 0), \quad (3.9)$$

$$= -m \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m-2 \\ j \end{matrix} \right\} \xi^j \frac{j!(j+\xi)}{(1-\xi)^{j+2}}, \quad (\xi \neq 1, m \geq 2). \quad (3.10)$$

Apostol's result in [2, Eq. (3.7)] is (3.9) and he used the coefficients $\beta_m(\xi)$ to describe the Lerch zeta function at negative integers. As discussed in [4, Sect. 4], the Eulerian polynomials are very closely related to $\beta_m(\xi)$.

With (3.5), (3.6) we see that $\beta_m(\xi)$ is in the field $\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$ and the next result then follows from Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.3. *We have $C_{hk\ell}(N) \in \mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i h/k})$.*

Thus we only expect $C_{hk\ell}(N)$ to be rational when $h/k = 0/1$ or $1/2$. We have already seen rational expressions for $C_{01\ell}(N)$ in Section 2. To write $C_{12\ell}(N)$ explicitly, use (3.6), (3.8) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_m(-1) &= (2^m - 1)B_m, & (m \geq 0) \\ &= -\frac{m!}{(2\pi i)^m} (1 + (-1)^m) \cdot \zeta(m, 1/2), & (m \geq 2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where for (3.11) we used the identity $B_m(1/2) = (2^{1-m} - 1)B_m$ from [19, Eq. (22.4.27)]. Combining (3.11) with (3.3), for example, we find

$$\begin{aligned} C_{12\ell}(N) &= \frac{(-1)^{N+\ell}(\ell-1)!}{N!} \sum_{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_N=N-\ell} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell+j_0 \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \frac{B_{j_1} B_{j_2} \dots B_{j_N}}{(\ell-1+j_0)!} \frac{1^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \dots N^{j_N}}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_N!} (2^{j_1} - 1)(2^{j_3} - 1) \dots \end{aligned}$$

More generally, (3.3) and (3.6) allow us to write

$$\begin{aligned} C_{hk\ell}(N) &= \frac{(-1)^N \rho^\ell (\ell-1)!}{N!} \sum_{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_N=N-\ell} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell+j_0 \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{k^{-\ell-j_0}}{(\ell-1+j_0)!} \frac{1^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \dots N^{j_N}}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_N!} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{0 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N \leq k-1} \rho^{1i_1+2i_2+\dots+N i_N} B_{j_1}(i_1/k) \dots B_{j_N}(i_N/k). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

4. SYLVESTER'S WAVES

Many of the formulas developed in Sections 2, 3 are similar to classical ones of Sylvester and Glaisher. In this section we summarize part of their work on restricted partitions, highlighting the close connections between Rademacher's coefficients and Sylvester's waves.

For fixed $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and each integer n , the general restricted partition problem asks how many solutions there are to

$$a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_N x_N = n \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Sylvester studied this number of solutions in [24, 25, 26], for example, calling it the *denumerant* (or *quotity*) of n with respect to $a_1, \dots, a_N$. For simplicity, we focus on the special case where $a_1 = 1, a_2 = 2, \dots, a_N = N$ so that the denumerant is $p_N(n)$. It is straightforward to extend the results stated here back to the original results of Sylvester and Glaisher for the general case.

With $k, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, Sylvester defined the k -th wave as

$$W_k(N, n) := \text{Res}_{z=0} \sum_{\rho} \frac{\rho^n e^{nz}}{(1 - \rho^{-1} e^{-z})(1 - \rho^{-2} e^{-2z}) \dots (1 - \rho^{-N} e^{-Nz})} \quad (4.1)$$

where the sum is over all primitive k -th roots of unity ρ .

Theorem 4.1 (Sylvester's Theorem¹). *For N and n in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have*

$$p_N(n) = \sum_{k=1}^N W_k(N, n).$$

¹The slightly involved early history of this theorem is described in [7, pp. 119-121, 124, 125, 134, 135] and [8, p. 277]

Proof. We may give a short proof based on our previous work. Write $e(z)$ for $e^{2\pi iz}$ and recall from (2.3) that

$$C_{hkl}(N) = e(h/k)^\ell \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \frac{e^z(e^z - 1)^{\ell-1}}{(1 - e(h/k)e^z)(1 - e(h/k)^2 e^{2z}) \cdots (1 - e(h/k)^N e^{Nz})}. \quad (4.2)$$

The Rademacher coefficients $C_{hkl}(N)$ were originally defined for $1 \leq \ell \leq \lfloor N/k \rfloor$, but we see that their definition may be extended by (4.2) to all integers $\ell > \lfloor N/k \rfloor$ and for these ℓ s we have $C_{hkl}(N) = 0$. Inserting (4.2) into (1.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} p_N(n) &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ (h,k)=1}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left(\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \frac{e(h/k)^\ell e^z (e^z - 1)^{\ell-1}}{(1 - e(h/k)e^z) \cdots (1 - e(h/k)^N e^{Nz})} \right) (-1)^\ell \binom{\ell-1+n}{\ell-1} e(h/k)^{-\ell-n} \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ (h,k)=1}} \frac{e(h/k)^{-n} e^z}{(1 - e(h/k)e^z) \cdots (1 - e(h/k)^N e^{Nz})} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell \binom{\ell-1+n}{\ell-1} (e^z - 1)^{\ell-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

With

$$(1+x)^{-m} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-m}{j} x^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{m-1+j}{m-1} x^j,$$

by the binomial theorem, the inner sum in (4.3) is recognized as $-e^{-(n+1)z}$. Hence

$$p_N(n) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ (h,k)=1}} \frac{-e(h/k)^{-n} e^{-nz}}{(1 - e(h/k)e^z) \cdots (1 - e(h/k)^N e^{Nz})}.$$

The theorem follows on replacing z by $-z$ and writing $\rho = e(h/k)^{-1}$. $\square$

The wave $W_k(N, n)$ has period k in the sense that it is given by a polynomial in n that depends only on $n \bmod k$. In other words, there exist k polynomials

$$Q_{k,0}(N, x), Q_{k,1}(N, x), \dots, Q_{k,k-1}(N, x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

so that $W_k(N, n) = Q_{k, n \bmod k}(N, n)$. The first wave $W_1(N, n)$ is the simplest, being just a polynomial in n .

Proposition 4.2 (Sylvester [26]). *For $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$W_1(N, n) = \frac{(-1)^{N-1}}{N!} [\text{coeff. of } z^{N-1}] \exp \left(- \left(n + \frac{N(N+1)}{4} \right) z - \sum_{m=2}^{N-1} \frac{B_m \cdot s_m(N)}{m \cdot m!} z^m \right).$$

The proof of a result generalizing Proposition 4.2 is given below. Glaisher, in [8, §§19-30], gave more direct expressions for W_1 using the Bernoulli polynomial expansions (2.6) with arguments $t = 0, 1/2, 1$ in (4.1). For example, with $t = 1/2$, he found

$$W_1(N, n) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_N=N-1} \left(n + \frac{N(N+1)}{4} \right)^{j_0} B_{j_1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \cdots B_{j_N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1^{j_1} \cdots N^{j_N}}{j_0! j_1! \cdots j_N!}.$$

In [3, Theorem, p. 2] the authors have essentially rediscovered the above formulas of Sylvester and Glaisher for the first wave W_1 .

Glaisher supplies detailed formulas for $W_2, \dots, W_6$ in [8, §§31-76], including expressions in terms of circulators (periodic sequences of integers), see also [1, Sect. 3]. In [8, §§88-100] he treats the general wave of period k . We use the Apostol-Bernoulli coefficients $\beta_m(\rho)$ from (3.1) to state his result. For fixed k and $0 \leq r \leq k-1$ set

$$s_{m,r}(N) := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N, j \equiv r \bmod k} j^m.$$

Theorem 4.3 (Glaisher). *For $k, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $s := \lfloor N/k \rfloor$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$\begin{aligned} W_k(N, n) &= \sum_{\rho} \frac{(-1)^{N-1} \rho^{-n}}{k^s \cdot s!} \left(\prod_{1 \leq w \leq N, k \nmid w} \frac{1}{\rho^w - 1} \right) \\ &\quad \times [\text{coeff. of } z^{s-1}] \exp \left(- \left(n + \frac{N(N+1)}{2} \right) z - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=1}^{s-1} \frac{\beta_m(\rho^r) \cdot s_{m,r}(N)}{m \cdot m!} z^m \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Write

$$W_k(N, n) = \sum_{\rho} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} (-1)^{N-1} \rho^{-n} e^{-nz} \prod_{1 \leq w \leq N} \frac{1}{\rho^w e^{wz} - 1}.$$

The product equals

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k|w}} \frac{1}{wz} \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k \nmid w}} \frac{wz}{e^{wz} - 1} \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k \nmid w}} \frac{1}{\rho^w - 1} \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k \nmid w}} \frac{\rho^w - 1}{\rho^w e^{wz} - 1}$$

and hence

$$W_k(N, n) = \sum_{\rho} \frac{(-1)^{N-1} \rho^{-n}}{k^s \cdot s!} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k \nmid w}} \frac{1}{\rho^w - 1} [\operatorname{coeff. of } z^{s-1}] e^{-nz} \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k|w}} \frac{wz}{e^{wz} - 1} \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k \nmid w}} \frac{\rho^w - 1}{\rho^w e^{wz} - 1}. \quad (4.4)$$

With (2.16) and its analog

$$\log \left(\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho e^z - 1} \right) = -z - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_m(\rho)}{m \cdot m!} z^m \quad (\rho \neq 1) \quad (4.5)$$

we can write the log of the part of (4.4) depending on z as

$$\begin{aligned} & -nz + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k|w}} \log \left(\frac{wz}{e^{wz} - 1} \right) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k \nmid w}} \log \left(\frac{\rho^w - 1}{\rho^w e^{wz} - 1} \right) \\ &= -nz - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k|w}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m B_m}{m \cdot m!} w^m z^m - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k \nmid w}} \left(wz + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \beta_m(\rho^w)}{m \cdot m!} w^m z^m \right) \\ &= -nz - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m B_m \cdot s_{m,0}(N)}{m \cdot m!} z^m - \sum_{1 \leq r \leq k-1} \left(s_{1,r}(N) z + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \beta_m(\rho^r) \cdot s_{m,r}(N)}{m \cdot m!} z^m \right). \end{aligned}$$

Regrouping with (3.4) yields the theorem. $\square$

The product in the statement of Theorem 4.3 may be simplified. We need a lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let ρ be a primitive k -th root of unity. Then*

$$(1 - \rho)(1 - \rho^2) \cdots (1 - \rho^{k-1}) = k.$$

Proof. The zeros of the polynomial $p(x) = (x+1)^k - 1$ are exactly $\rho^j - 1$ for $0 \leq j \leq k-1$. Hence the zeros of

$$p(x)/x = x^{k-1} + \binom{k}{1} x^{k-2} + \cdots + \binom{k}{k-2} x + \binom{k}{k-1} \quad (4.6)$$

are $\rho^j - 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ and their product is $(-1)^{k-1}$ times the constant term in (4.6). $\square$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k \nmid w}} \frac{1}{\rho^w - 1} &= \left(\prod_{w=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\rho^w - 1} \right)^s \prod_{w=1}^{N-ks} \frac{1}{\rho^w - 1} \\ &= \left(\frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \right)^s \frac{(-1)^{N-ks}}{\prod_{1 \leq w \leq N-ks} (1 - \rho^w)} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{N+ks}}{k^s \prod_{1 \leq w \leq N-ks} (1 - \rho^w)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Using (4.7) in Theorem 4.3 and expanding allows us to write the k -th wave relatively transparently as

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_k(N, n) &= \sum_{\rho} \frac{(-1)^{s-1} \rho^{-n}}{k^{2s} \cdot s! \prod_{1 \leq w \leq N-k} (1 - \rho^w)} \\
 &\times \sum_{1j_1+2j_2+\dots+Nj_N=s-1} \frac{1}{j_1!j_2! \dots j_N!} \left(-n - \frac{N(N+1)}{2} - \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{\beta_1(\rho^r) \cdot s_{1,r}(N)}{1 \cdot 1!} \right)^{j_1} \\
 &\times \left(-\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{\beta_2(\rho^r) \cdot s_{2,r}(N)}{2 \cdot 2!} \right)^{j_2} \dots \left(-\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{\beta_N(\rho^r) \cdot s_{N,r}(N)}{N \cdot N!} \right)^{j_N}. \quad (4.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting $k = 1$ in (4.8) gives an expanded version of Proposition 4.2.

We conclude this section by noting the following explicit relations, which the reader may readily verify:

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_k(N, n) &= - \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \\ (h, k) = 1}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lfloor N/k \rfloor} \binom{-n-1}{\ell-1} e(h/k)^{-\ell-n} C_{hk\ell}(N) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}), \\
 C_{01\ell}(N) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{\ell-1}{j-1} (-1)^j W_1(N, -j), \\
 C_{12\ell}(N) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{\ell-1}{j-1} (-1)^{\ell} W_2(N, -j).
 \end{aligned}$$

5. A RECURSIVE FORMULA FOR $C_{hk\ell}(N)$

Our aim in this section is to give a recursive form of (3.3) and (3.12), useful for computations. For $\rho = e^{2\pi i h/k}$ as before and $N, m \geq 1$, set

$$D_{hk\ell}(N, m) := \sum_{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_N=m-\ell} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell+j_0 \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{\beta_{j_1}(\rho) \beta_{j_2}(\rho^2) \dots \beta_{j_N}(\rho^N)}{(\ell-1+j_0)!} \frac{1^{j_1} 2^{j_2} \dots N^{j_N}}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_N!}. \quad (5.1)$$

As a consequence of (3.3),

$$C_{hk\ell}(N) = \frac{(-1)^N (\ell-1)!}{N!} \rho^{\ell} D_{hk\ell}(N, N).$$

We may write

$$D_{hk\ell}(N, m) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \rho^r E_{hk\ell}(N, m; r) \quad (5.2)$$

for $E_{hk\ell}(N, m; r) \in \mathbb{Q}$ and we wish to find a recursive formula for these rational numbers.

First note that

$$E_{hk\ell}(0, m; r) = \delta_{0,r} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \quad (5.3)$$

follows from (5.1). Substituting formulas (5.2), (3.6) into both sides of the identity

$$D_{hk\ell}(N, m) = \sum_{a=0}^{m-\ell} D_{hk\ell}(N-1, m-a) \frac{\beta_a(\rho^N) N^a}{a!} \quad (N \geq 1)$$

and equating coefficients of ρ^r produces:

$$E_{hk\ell}(N, m; r) = \sum_{a=0}^{m-\ell} N^a \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} E_{hk\ell}(N-1, m-a; (r-Nj) \bmod k) \frac{k^{a-1}}{a!} B_a(j/k). \quad (5.4)$$

By induction, it is now clear from (5.3) and (5.4) that $E_{hk\ell}(N, m; r)$ is independent of h and so we denote it just $E_{k\ell}(N, m; r)$. We have proved the following.

Theorem 5.1. For $N, m \geq 1$ and $0 \leq r \leq k-1$, define recursively

$$E_{k\ell}(0, m; r) := \delta_{0,r} \left\{ \begin{matrix} m \\ \ell \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{1}{(m-1)!},$$

$$E_{k\ell}(N, m; r) := \sum_{a=0}^{m-\ell} N^a \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} E_{k\ell}(N-1, m-a; (r-Nj) \bmod k) \frac{k^{a-1}}{a!} B_a(j/k) \quad (N \geq 1).$$

With $\rho = e^{2\pi i h/k}$ we then have

$$C_{hk\ell}(N) = \frac{(-1)^N (\ell-1)!}{N!} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \rho^{r+\ell} E_{k\ell}(N, N; r). \quad (5.5)$$

Theorem 5.1 allows us to calculate $C_{hk\ell}(N)$ quickly, just using rational numbers until the final step. All the computations in the next section were carried out by this method.

We also remark that Theorem 5.1 allows us to easily sum $C_{hk\ell}(N)$ over all h prime to k . Recall from [12, Eq. (3.1)], for example, the identity

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq a < b \\ (a,b)=1}} e^{2\pi i m a/b} = \sum_{d|(m,b)} d \cdot \mu(b/d) \quad (5.6)$$

where the left side of (5.6) is a *Ramanujan sum* and the right side has the Möbius function μ . Therefore (5.5) and (5.6) imply

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \\ (h,k)=1}} C_{hk\ell}(N) = \frac{(-1)^N (\ell-1)!}{N!} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} E_{k\ell}(N, N; r) \sum_{d|(r+\ell, k)} d \cdot \mu(k/d),$$

a rational number.

6. RADEMACHER'S CONJECTURE

Rademacher modified the method of Hardy and Ramanujan to find an exact formula in [21, Eq. (4)] for $p(n)$, the number of partitions of n . Also in this 1937 paper he substituted his formula back into

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) q^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^j} \quad (6.1)$$

to obtain in [21, Eqs. (13), (14)] a decomposition of the right side of (6.1) into partial fractions. This is detailed in [22, pp. 292 - 302] and Rademacher finds

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^j} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \\ (h,k)=1}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{hk\ell}(\infty)}{(q - e^{2\pi i h/k})^\ell} \quad (|q| < 1) \quad (6.2)$$

with coefficients $C_{hk\ell}(\infty)$ given explicitly in [22, Eq. (130.6)]. For example

$$C_{011}(\infty) = -\frac{6}{25} - \frac{12\sqrt{3}}{125\pi}, \quad C_{121}(\infty) = \frac{\sqrt{3}-3}{25} + \frac{12(\sqrt{3}+3)}{125\pi}.$$

Comparing (6.2) with (1.2), he then proposed the following appealing conjecture, providing some limited numerical evidence with $N \leq 5$.

Conjecture 6.1 (Rademacher [22]). *We have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} C_{hk\ell}(N) = C_{hk\ell}(\infty).$$

Andrews redrew attention to Conjecture 6.1 in [1] and subsequently Munagi [17, 18] and Davidson and Gagola in [6] considered the problem, though without making headway on the original conjecture. In [6] they calculated $C_{011}(N)$ with $N \leq 45$. These values showed oscillation and the authors seem unconvinced that the sequence is converging. Using a new recursive technique (see Corollary 8.4), Sills and Zeilberger in [23] were able to compute a much larger range of $C_{011}(N)$, showing clearly that this sequence looks to be oscillating with period close to 32 and with amplitude growing exponentially.

In work currently being completed in [20], we extend the techniques of Sections 2 – 5 and employ the saddle-point method to obtain precise asymptotics for $C_{011}(N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This shows an interesting link with the zeros of the dilogarithm that we describe next.

Recall that the dilogarithm $\text{Li}_2(z)$ is initially defined as

$$\text{Li}_2(z) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^m}{m^2} \quad (|z| \leq 1).$$

It has an analytic continuation to all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by means of

$$\text{Li}_2(z) = - \int_0^z \log(1-u) \frac{du}{u} \quad (6.3)$$

with a branch point at $z = 1$. It may be shown that

$$\text{Li}_2(w) + 2\pi i \log(w) = 0$$

has a unique solution for $w \in \mathbb{C}$ given by $w_0 \approx 0.916198 + 0.182459i$. In fact w_0 is a zero of the dilogarithm on a non-principal branch because, as the contour of integration in (6.3) passes down across the branch cut $[1, \infty)$, the term $2\pi i \log(z)$ gets added to the principal value, as in [16, Sect. 3(b)]. It is convenient to set $z_0 := \log(1 - w_0)/(-2\pi i) + 1$ so that

$$w_0 = 1 - e^{-2\pi i z_0}, \quad 1 < \text{Re}(z_0) < 2.$$

Conjecture 6.2. *We have*

$$C_{011}(N) = \text{Re} \left[(-2z_0 e^{\pi i z_0}) \frac{w_0^{-N}}{N^2} \right] + O \left(\frac{|w_0|^{-N}}{N^3} \right). \quad (6.4)$$

Presenting (6.4) with real numbers, we can equivalently write

$$C_{011}(N) = \frac{e^{NU}}{N^2} \left(\alpha \sin(\beta + NV) + O \left(\frac{1}{N} \right) \right) \quad (6.5)$$

for

$$\alpha \approx 5.39532, \quad \beta \approx 1.92792, \quad U \approx 0.0680762, \quad V \approx -0.196576.$$

Thus, Conjecture 6.2 gives an exact version of [23, Conjecture 2.1]. (In fact (6.4) and (6.5) can be made even more precise with a complete asymptotic expansion.) The period of the oscillations on the right of (6.4), (6.5) is $-2\pi/V \approx 31.9631$.

Conjecture 6.3. *We have*

$$C_{121}(N) = \text{Re} \left[-z_0 \sqrt{2e^{\pi i z_0} (e^{\pi i z_0} + (-1)^N)} \frac{w_0^{-N/2}}{N^2} \right] + O \left(\frac{|w_0|^{-N/2}}{N^3} \right). \quad (6.6)$$

The real number version of Conjecture 6.3 is the same as (6.5) except that U and V are substituted by $U/2$ and $V/2$. Also α and β are replaced by $\alpha' \approx 4.51129$, $\beta' \approx -1.30059$ if N is odd and replaced by $\alpha'' \approx 3.11832$, $\beta'' \approx -1.02847$ if N is even.



N	$C_{011}(N)$	$A_{011}(N)$	$C_{121}(N)$	$A_{121}(N)$
200	32.1168	33.8689	0.0253518	-0.0680541
400	-2.16712×10^7	-2.17937×10^7	-7.89072	-7.60602
600	-1.77255×10^{12}	-1.80284×10^{12}	1838.23	1963.12
800	3.71444×10^{18}	3.72536×10^{18}	2.91228×10^6	2.93686×10^6
1000	2.54070×10^{23}	2.58000×10^{23}	1.77778×10^9	1.7713×10^9

TABLE 1.

Numerical evidence for Conjectures 6.2, 6.3 is shown in Table 1 with $A_{011}(N)$ and $A_{121}(N)$ denoting the main terms on the right of (6.4), (6.6). Figures 1 and 2 contain more verifying data.

Since $|w_0| < 1$ and $U > 0$, Conjectures 6.2 and 6.3 certainly imply that $C_{011}(N)$ and $C_{121}(N)$ become arbitrarily large (with $C_{011}(N)$ on the order of the square of $C_{121}(N)$) and do not converge to any limit. In [20] the proof of a slightly weaker version of Conjecture 6.2, enough to disprove Rademacher's conjecture, is being finished. The chief difficulty comes from estimating the error terms and showing they are indeed less than the expected main terms.

Though Rademacher's original conjecture appears to be false, we can speculate that another version of it may be true, perhaps modifying the sequence by averaging the coefficients or introducing factors to ensure convergence. It is remarkable that there seems to be a connection between $C_{hkl}(N)$ and $C_{hkl}(\infty)$ when N is *small* as noted in [23, Sect. 4] and by Rademacher himself [22, p. 302]. We include more evidence of this phenomenon in Table 2 by setting $C_{hkl}(\star) := \frac{1}{100} \sum_{N=1}^{100} C_{hkl}(N)$.

FIGURE 1. $C_{011}(N) * N^2 e^{-NU}$ for $100 \leq N \leq 300$ FIGURE 2. $C_{121}(N) * N^2 e^{-NU/2}$ for $250 \leq N \leq 500$

h	k	ℓ	$C_{hkl}(\star)$	$C_{hkl}(\infty)$	$ 1 - C_{hkl}(\star)/C_{hkl}(\infty) $
0	1	1	-0.2812	-0.2929	0.04005
1	2	1	0.09511	0.09388	0.01309
1	3	1	$0.02429 - 0.02899i$	$0.02417 - 0.02881i$	0.005911
1	4	1	$0.007312 - 0.01775i$	$0.007252 - 0.01751i$	0.01332
0	1	2	0.1921	0.1898	0.01219
1	2	2	0.01510	0.01531	0.01392
1	3	2	$-0.0009181 - 0.002514i$	$-0.0009364 - 0.002573i$	0.02233
1	4	2	$-0.0006919 - 0.0002846i$	$-0.0007183 - 0.0002975i$	0.03771

TABLE 2.

7. A CONJECTURE OF SILLS AND ZEILBERGER

In [23, Sect. 3], Sills and Zeilberger define²

$$P_{01r}(N) := (-1)^N N! \cdot (-4)^r r! \cdot C_{01(N-r)}(N).$$

For each r , by solving a recursion, they prove ‘top down’ formulas such as

$$P_{010}(N) = 1, \quad P_{011}(N) = N^2 - N, \quad P_{012}(N) = N^4 - \frac{22}{9}N^3 + \frac{13}{3}N^2 - \frac{26}{9}N,$$

with a procedure they automated.

Conjecture 7.1 (Sills and Zeilberger [23]). *For each $r \geq 1$, $P_{01r}(N)$ is a monic, alternating, convex polynomial in N of degree $2r$ whose only real roots are 0 and 1.*

²changing their notation slightly from $P_{01(N-r)}(N)$ to $P_{01r}(N)$

By extending our work from Section 2, we find a formula for $P_{01r}(N)$ and prove part of Conjecture 7.1. To begin, rearrange (2.10) into

$$\frac{P_{01r}(N)}{(-4)^r r!} = [\text{coeff. of } z^r] e^z \left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1} \right)^{r+1} \left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1} \right)^{-N} \left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1} \right) \left(\frac{2z}{e^{2z} - 1} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{Nz}{e^{Nz} - 1} \right).$$

As in (2.18) this becomes

$$\frac{P_{01r}(N)}{(-4)^r r!} = [\text{coeff. of } z^r] e^z \left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1} \right)^{r+1} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \frac{(-1)^{j+1} B_j z^j}{j \cdot j!} (s_j(N) - N) \right). \quad (7.1)$$

The expressions $s_1(N) - N, \dots, s_r(N) - N$ contain the only appearance of N on the right side of (7.1). Recalling the definition in (2.17), we may write

$$s_m(N) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m+1}{j+1} (-1)^{m-j} B_{m-j} \cdot N^{j+1} \quad (m, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \quad (7.2)$$

by rearranging [22, Eq. (2.3)] or [10, Eq. (6.78)] for example. So $s_m(N) - N$ is a polynomial of degree $m+1$ in N and we may replace N by an arbitrary variable x .

At this point, we need to introduce the Stirling numbers $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}$. They denote the number of permutations of a set of size n that have m disjoint cycles. For an in-depth discussion of both types of Stirling numbers $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right\}, \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}$, including their history and notation, see [10, Chap. 6], [15]. They satisfy

$$\sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right\} x(x-1) \cdots (x-m+1) = x^n, \quad (7.3)$$

$$x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1) = \sum_{m=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} x^m. \quad (7.4)$$

Also, the analog of (2.15) is

$$B_n^{(r)} = (-1)^n \frac{r}{r-n} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} r \\ r-n \end{smallmatrix} \right] / \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r \\ r-n \end{smallmatrix} \right), \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq n+1}) \quad (7.5)$$

from [5, Eq. (2)].

Lemma 7.2. For $r \geq 0$,

$$e^z \left(\frac{z}{e^z - 1} \right)^{r+1} = \sum_{m=0}^r (-1)^m \left[\begin{smallmatrix} r \\ r-m \end{smallmatrix} \right] / \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r \\ r-m \end{smallmatrix} \right) \frac{z^m}{m!} + O(z^{r+1}). \quad (7.6)$$

Proof. The case $r = 0$ is easy so we assume $r \geq 1$. The coefficient of $z^m/m!$ on the left of (7.6) is $B_m^{(r+1)}(1)$. By (2.14) and (7.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_m^{(r+1)}(1) &= \frac{r-m}{r} B_m^{(r)} & (0 \leq m) \\ &= \frac{r-m}{r} (-1)^m \frac{r}{r-m} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} r \\ r-m \end{smallmatrix} \right] / \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r \\ r-m \end{smallmatrix} \right) & (0 \leq m \leq r-1) \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

so that

$$B_m^{(r+1)}(1) = (-1)^m \left[\begin{smallmatrix} r \\ r-m \end{smallmatrix} \right] / \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r \\ r-m \end{smallmatrix} \right) \quad (0 \leq m \leq r-1). \quad (7.8)$$

We may verify that (7.8) is also true for $m = r$ since $B_m^{(m+1)}(1) = 0$ by (7.7). The lemma follows. $\square$

Theorem 7.3. For $r \geq 1$, $P_{01r}(x)$ is a monic polynomial in x of degree $2r$ with 0 and 1 as roots. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{01r}(x) &= 4^r \sum_{m=0}^r (-1)^m \left[\begin{smallmatrix} r \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right] m! \sum_{1j_1+2j_2+\cdots+mj_m=m} \frac{1}{j_1! j_2! \cdots j_m!} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{B_1}{1 \cdot 1!} (s_1(x) - x) \right)^{j_1} \cdots \left(\frac{(-1)^{m-1} B_m}{m \cdot m!} (s_m(x) - x) \right)^{j_m}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

Proof. The formula (7.9) follows from Lemma 7.2 and (7.1), showing that $P_{01r}(x)$ is a polynomial in x . The term in (7.9) corresponding to $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m)$ has degree

$$2j_1 + 3j_2 + \dots + (m+1)j_m = j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_m + m$$

with $m \leq r$ and $j_1 \leq m$, $j_2 \leq m/2$, $\dots$, $j_m \leq 1$. Hence, the maximum degree term has $m = j_1 = r$ and $j_2 = j_3 = \dots = j_r = 0$. This $2r$ -degree term contributes

$$4^r (-1)^r r! \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{B_1}{1 \cdot 1!} (s_1(x) - x) \right)^r = 4^r \left(\frac{x^2 - x}{4} \right)^r = x^{2r} + O(x^{2r-1})$$

to (7.9), proving that $P_{01r}(x)$ is monic of degree $2r$.

Since $s_m(1) = 1^m$ by definition and $s_m(n)$ in (7.2) has no constant term, we see that 0 and 1 are roots of $s_m(x) - x$ for $m \geq 1$. Therefore 0 and 1 are roots of all terms on the right side of (7.9) except possibly the term with $j_1 = j_2 = \dots = j_m = m = 0$. However this term must be zero because $\begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ for $r \geq 1$. Therefore 0 and 1 are roots of $P_{01r}(x)$, completing the proof. $\square$

With Theorem 7.3, we have proven part of Sills and Zeilberger's Conjecture 7.1 but it remains to show that $P_{01r}(x)$ is convex, i.e. $P_{01r}''(x) \geq 0$, and that the coefficients of $P_{01r}(x)$ alternate in sign. Note that convexity implies that there are no more real roots after 0 and 1.

As we showed in Theorem 7.3, the coefficient of x^{2r} is 1. The same methods allow us to calculate the next highest terms:

$$P_{01r}(x) = x^{2r} - \frac{2r^2 + 7r}{9} x^{2r-1} + \frac{4r^4 + 12r^3 + 287r^2 - 303r}{162} x^{2r-2} + \dots \quad (7.10)$$

for example, proving the formulas observed in [23, Remark 3.4]. It follows from (7.10) that the coefficients of the three highest degree terms of $P_{01r}(x)$ alternate. We may also examine the lowest degree terms.

Theorem 7.4. *For $r \geq 1$, the coefficient of x in the polynomial $P_{01r}(x)$ is always negative with*

$$[\text{coeff. of } x] P_{01r}(x) = 4^r \sum_{i=1}^r \begin{bmatrix} r \\ i \end{bmatrix} \frac{B_i}{i} \left(1 - (-1)^i B_i \right). \quad (7.11)$$

Proof. We prove (7.11) first. Since x divides $s_m(x) - x$, the only terms in (7.9) that can contribute to the x term have all of $j_1 = j_2 = \dots = j_m = 0$ except for one $j_i = 1$. The coefficient of x in $s_i(x) - x$ is $(-1)^i B_i - 1$ so

$$[\text{coeff. of } x] \frac{(-1)^{i-1} B_i}{i \cdot i!} (s_i(x) - x) = \frac{B_i}{i \cdot i!} ((-1)^i - B_i).$$

Hence

$$[\text{coeff. of } x] P_{01r}(x) = 4^r \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} r \\ i \end{bmatrix} i! \frac{B_i}{i \cdot i!} ((-1)^i - B_i)$$

and we have verified (7.11).

To complete the proof we need to check these coefficients are negative. We recall a few facts about Bernoulli numbers, see [22, Chap. 1] for example. We have $B_i = 0$ for $i \geq 3$ and odd. Also

$$B_i = (-1)^{i/2+1} 2\zeta(i) \frac{i!}{(2\pi)^i} \quad (i \geq 2, \text{ even})$$

where ζ is the Riemann zeta function and $1 < \zeta(i) \leq \zeta(2) = \pi^2/6 < 2$ for $i \geq 2$. Hence

$$2 \frac{i!}{(2\pi)^i} < |B_i| < 4 \frac{i!}{(2\pi)^i} \quad (i \geq 2, \text{ even}). \quad (7.12)$$

Also, by Stirling's formula [22, pp. 26-28],

$$2\sqrt{i} \left(\frac{i}{e} \right)^i < i! < 3\sqrt{i} \left(\frac{i}{e} \right)^i \quad (i \geq 1). \quad (7.13)$$

It follows that $|B_i| > 1$ exactly for $i \geq 14$ and even ($B_{14} = 7/6$) and so

$$\begin{bmatrix} r \\ i \end{bmatrix} \frac{B_i}{i} \left(1 - (-1)^i B_i \right) < 0 \quad (i \geq 14, \text{ even}).$$

By omitting all the terms with $14 \leq i < r$ (and assuming $r \geq 14$ and even for simplicity) we find

$$\begin{aligned} 4^{-r} [\text{coeff. of } x] P_{01r}(x) &< \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r \end{bmatrix} \frac{B_r}{r} (1 - B_r) + \sum_{i=1}^{12} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ i \end{bmatrix} \frac{B_i}{i} (1 - (-1)^i B_i) \\ &< \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r \end{bmatrix} \frac{B_r}{r} (1 - B_r) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^r \begin{bmatrix} r \\ i \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

Setting $x = 1$ in (7.4) shows that $\sum_{i=1}^r \begin{bmatrix} r \\ i \end{bmatrix} = r!$. Using the bounds (7.12), (7.13) in (7.14) then yields

$$4^{-r} [\text{coeff. of } x] P_{01r}(x) < 8r! \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \left(\frac{r}{4\pi^2 e} \right)^r \right)$$

showing that the coefficients are certainly negative for $r \geq 110$. Checking directly the coefficients for $1 \leq r < 110$ completes the proof. $\square$

The same method allows us to prove formulas for the coefficients of x^2 , x^3 etc. For example the next result has a similar proof to Theorem 7.4.

Theorem 7.5. *For $r \geq 1$, the coefficient of x^2 in the polynomial $P_{01r}(x)$ is always positive and given by*

$$\begin{aligned} 4^r \left(\frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{24} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r/2} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 2i \end{bmatrix} \binom{2i}{i} \left(\frac{B_i}{i} (1 - (-1)^i B_i) \right)^2 \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=i+1}^r \begin{bmatrix} r \\ i+j \end{bmatrix} \binom{i+j}{i} \frac{B_i}{i} (1 - (-1)^i B_i) \frac{B_j}{j} (1 - (-1)^j B_j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

So far, our techniques allow us to check one-by-one that the coefficients of $P_{01r}(x)$ are alternating. We next show that a large piece of $P_{01r}(x)$ is alternating, though for very large r it is not convex. Consider the sum of terms in (7.9) with $j_1 = m$ and $j_2 = j_3 = \dots = j_m = 0$. Call this subsum $M_{01r}(x)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{01r}(x) &= 4^r \sum_{m=0}^r (-1)^m \begin{bmatrix} r \\ m \end{bmatrix} m! \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{B_1}{1 \cdot 1!} (s_1(x) - x) \right)^m \\ &= 4^r \sum_{m=0}^r \begin{bmatrix} r \\ m \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{x(x-1)}{4} \right)^m \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} (x(x-1) + 4j). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.6. *For $r \geq 1$, $M_{01r}(x)$ is alternating but not always convex.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $M_{01r}(x)$ is alternating: just note that $M_{01r}(-x) = \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} (x^2 + x + 4j)$ has all its coefficients positive. To check convexity, a straightforward calculation shows

$$M''_{01r}(1/2) = 2 \left(1 - \sum_{a=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{16a-1} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} (4j - 1/4).$$

Since $\sum_{a=1}^{r-1} 1/a > \log r$ it follows that $M_{01r}(x)$ is not convex for $r > e^{16}$. $\square$

This perhaps casts doubt on $P_{01r}(x)$ being convex for all r . However, if we could show that $M_{01r}(x)$ is the dominant part of $P_{01r}(x)$, having larger coefficients than the remaining piece $P_{01r}(x) - M_{01r}(x)$, this would imply that $P_{01r}(x)$ is also alternating. Hopefully these issues can be pursued in a future work.

We finally remark that throughout this section we have assumed $h/k = 0/1$, so it would be interesting to see what happens in the general case. What are the expressions for $C_{hk(N-r)}(N)$ analogous to $P_{01r}(N)$?

8. FURTHER FORMULAS

Andrews supplied the first formula for $C_{011}(N)$, expressing it in [1, Theorem 1] as

$$C_{011}(N) = \frac{-1}{N!} \sum_{j_2=1}^1 \sum_{j_3=1}^2 \cdots \sum_{j_N=1}^{N-1} e\left(-\frac{j_2}{2} - \frac{j_3}{3} - \cdots - \frac{j_N}{N}\right) \times \sum_{r_2+\cdots+r_N=N-1} \left(\frac{e(j_2/2)}{1-e(j_2/2)}\right)^{r_2} \cdots \left(\frac{e(j_N/N)}{1-e(j_N/N)}\right)^{r_N} \quad (8.1)$$

for $e(z) := e^{2\pi iz}$. The starting point for the general case of Andrews' formula is the identity

$$C_{hkl}(N) = \frac{1}{(N-\ell)!} \frac{d^{N-\ell}}{dx^{N-\ell}} \frac{(x-\rho)^N}{(1-x)(1-x^2)\cdots(1-x^N)} \Big|_{x=\rho} \quad (8.2)$$

for $\rho = e^{2\pi ih/k}$ as before. With a different treatment of (8.2) we obtain

$$C_{01\ell}(N) = \frac{(-1)^N}{N!} \sum_{m=1}^{N-\ell} (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \geq 1 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m = N-\ell \\ 1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_m \leq N}} \frac{\binom{r_1}{i_1+1} \binom{r_2}{i_2+1} \cdots \binom{r_m}{i_m+1}}{r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdots r_m} \quad (8.3)$$

as a special case of Theorem 8.3 below. We begin with some straightforward lemmas.

Lemma 8.1 (Fermat). *We have*

$$\binom{j}{j} + \binom{j+1}{j} + \binom{j+2}{j} + \cdots + \binom{r-1}{j} = \binom{r}{j+1}. \quad (8.4)$$

Proof. Write the left side of (8.4) as

$$\binom{j+1}{0} + \binom{j+1}{1} + \binom{j+2}{2} + \cdots + \binom{r-1}{r-1-j}. \quad (8.5)$$

By successively combining the first two terms of (8.5) using Pascal's identity, the sum collapses and we obtain the lemma. $\square$

It follows from Lemma 8.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} (1+x+x^2+\cdots+x^{r-1}) \Big|_{x=1} &= j! + \frac{(j+1)!}{1!} + \cdots + \frac{(r-1)!}{(r-1-j)!} \\ &= j! \left(\binom{j}{j} + \binom{j+1}{j} + \cdots + \binom{r-1}{j} \right) \\ &= j! \binom{r}{j+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.6)$$

Now define

$$h_\rho(r, x) := \begin{cases} 1+x+x^2+\cdots+x^{r-1} & \text{if } k \mid r \\ 1-\rho^r x^r & \text{if } k \nmid r \end{cases} \quad (8.7)$$

$$G_\rho(r, i) := \frac{-1}{h_\rho(r, 1) \cdot i!} \frac{d^i}{dx^i} h_\rho(r, x) \Big|_{x=1} \quad (8.8)$$

Lemma 8.2. *For $r, i \geq 1$ we have*

$$G_\rho(r, i) = \frac{-1}{r} \binom{r}{i+1} \text{ if } k \mid r, \quad G_\rho(r, i) = \frac{\rho^r}{1-\rho^r} \binom{r}{i} \text{ if } k \nmid r. \quad (8.9)$$

Proof. The left side of (8.9) follows from (8.6). The right side is a simpler calculation, with

$$\frac{d^i}{dx^i} (1-\rho^r x^r) \Big|_{x=1} = -\rho^r i! \binom{r}{i} \quad (i \geq 1).$$

$\square$

Theorem 8.3. With $\rho = e^{2\pi i h/k}$, define $G_\rho(r, i)$ as in (8.8) or (8.9) and set $s := \lfloor N/k \rfloor$. Then

$$C_{hkl}(N) = \frac{(-1)^s \rho^\ell}{k^{2s} s!} \left(\prod_{d=1}^{N-ks} \frac{1}{1 - \rho^d} \right) \sum_{m=1}^{s-\ell} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \geq 1 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m = s-\ell \\ 1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_m \leq N}} G_\rho(r_1, i_1) G_\rho(r_2, i_2) \cdots G_\rho(r_m, i_m). \quad (8.10)$$

Proof. Changing the variable from x to ρx in (8.2) produces

$$\begin{aligned} (N - \ell)! C_{hkl}(N) &= \rho^\ell \frac{d^{N-\ell}}{dx^{N-\ell}} (x-1)^N \prod_{1 \leq d \leq N} \frac{1}{1 - \rho^d x^d} \Big|_{x=1} \\ &= \rho^\ell (-1)^s \frac{d^{N-\ell}}{dx^{N-\ell}} (x-1)^{N-s} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq N \\ k \nmid d}} \frac{1}{1 + x + \dots + x^{d-1}} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq d \leq N \\ k \nmid d}} \frac{1}{1 - \rho^d x^d} \Big|_{x=1} \\ &= \rho^\ell (-1)^s \sum_{a+b=N-\ell} \binom{N-\ell}{a} \frac{d^a}{dx^a} (x-1)^{N-s} \Big|_{x=1} \cdot \frac{d^b}{dx^b} \prod_{1 \leq d \leq N} \frac{1}{h_\rho(d, x)} \Big|_{x=1}. \end{aligned}$$

The only non-zero terms have $a = N - s$ and hence $b = s - \ell$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} C_{hkl}(N) &= \frac{\rho^\ell (-1)^s}{(s - \ell)!} \frac{d^{s-\ell}}{dx^{s-\ell}} \prod_{1 \leq d \leq N} \frac{1}{h_\rho(d, x)} \Big|_{x=1} \\ &= \frac{\rho^\ell (-1)^s}{(s - \ell)!} \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_N = s - \ell} \binom{s - \ell}{m_1, \dots, m_N} \prod_{r=1}^N \frac{d^{m_r}}{dx^{m_r}} \frac{1}{h_\rho(r, x)} \Big|_{x=1} \end{aligned} \quad (8.11)$$

using Leibnitz' formula. Faà di Bruno's formula (the symmetric form, due to Cesàro and Riordan - see [14, Eq. (2.2)]) tells us that

$$\frac{d^m}{dx^m} g(f(x)) = \sum_{d=0}^m g^{(d)}(f(x)) \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d \geq 1 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_d = m}} \binom{m}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d} f^{(i_1)}(x) \cdots f^{(i_d)}(x)$$

where the inner sum is defined as $\delta_{m,0}$ when $d = 0$. Apply this with $g(x) = 1/x$ and $f(x) = h_\rho(r, x)$ to get

$$\frac{d^m}{dx^m} \frac{1}{h_\rho(r, x)} = \sum_{d=0}^m \frac{(-1)^d}{h_\rho(r, x)^{d+1}} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d \geq 1 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_d = m}} \binom{m}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d} h_\rho^{(i_1)}(r, x) \cdots h_\rho^{(i_d)}(r, x).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{d^m}{dx^m} \frac{1}{h_\rho(r, x)} \Big|_{x=1} = \frac{m!}{h_\rho(r, 1)} \sum_{d=0}^m \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d \geq 1 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_d = m}} G_\rho(r, i_1) \cdots G_\rho(r, i_d) \quad (8.12)$$

and inserting (8.12) into (8.11),

$$\begin{aligned} C_{hkl}(N) &= \rho^\ell (-1)^s \left(\prod_{w=1}^N \frac{1}{h_\rho(w, 1)} \right) \sum_{m_1 + \dots + m_N = s - \ell} \prod_{r=1}^N \left(\sum_{d=0}^{m_r} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d \geq 1 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_d = m_r}} G_\rho(r, i_1) \cdots G_\rho(r, i_d) \right) \\ &= \rho^\ell (-1)^s \left(\prod_{w=1}^N \frac{1}{h_\rho(w, 1)} \right) \sum_{m=1}^{s-\ell} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \geq 1 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m = s - \ell \\ 1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_m \leq N}} G_\rho(r_1, i_1) G_\rho(r_2, i_2) \cdots G_\rho(r_m, i_m). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{w=1}^N h_\rho(w, 1) &= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k|w}} h_\rho(w, 1) \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq ks \\ k \nmid w}} h_\rho(w, 1) \cdot \prod_{\substack{ks+1 \leq w \leq N \\ k \nmid w}} h_\rho(w, 1) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq N \\ k|w}} w \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq w \leq ks \\ k \nmid w}} (1 - \rho^w) \cdot \prod_{\substack{ks+1 \leq w \leq N \\ k \nmid w}} (1 - \rho^w) \\
&= k^s s! \left(\prod_{1 \leq w \leq k-1} (1 - \rho^w) \right)^s \prod_{1 \leq w \leq N-ks} (1 - \rho^w).
\end{aligned}$$

With Lemma 4.4, this completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 8.4. *Recursively define*

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_\rho(0, a) &:= 0, & (a \geq 0), \\
Q_\rho(N, 0) &:= 1, & (N \geq 1),
\end{aligned}$$

and, with $G_\rho(N, b)$ as in (8.9),

$$Q_\rho(N, a) := Q_\rho(N-1, a) + \sum_{b=1}^a Q_\rho(N, a-b) G_\rho(N, b), \quad (N \geq 1, a \geq 1). \quad (8.13)$$

Then, for $s := \lfloor N/k \rfloor$,

$$C_{hk\ell}(N) = \frac{(-1)^s \rho^\ell}{k^{2s} s!} \left(\prod_{d=1}^{N-ks} \frac{1}{1 - \rho^d} \right) Q_\rho(N, s - \ell). \quad (8.14)$$

Proof. The above definition of $Q_\rho(N, a)$ corresponds to

$$Q_\rho(N, a) = \sum_{m=1}^a \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \geq 1 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m = a \\ 1 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_m \leq N}} G_\rho(r_1, i_1) G_\rho(r_2, i_2) \cdots G_\rho(r_m, i_m). \quad (8.15)$$

Since r_m in the summation (8.15) is either $\leq N-1$ or equal to N , we obtain (8.13). Then Theorem 8.3 implies (8.14). $\square$

Corollary 8.4 describes, essentially, the recursion used by Sills and Zeilberger for their computations. See [23, Sect. 2.2] for their derivation in the $h/k = 0/1$ case.

REFERENCES

- [1] George E. Andrews. Partitions: at the interface of q -series and modular forms. *Ramanujan J.*, 7(1-3):385–400, 2003. Rankin memorial issues.
- [2] T. M. Apostol. On the Lerch zeta function. *Pacific J. Math.*, 1:161–167, 1951.
- [3] Matthias Beck, Ira M. Gessel, and Takao Komatsu. The polynomial part of a restricted partition function related to the Frobenius problem. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 8(1):Note 7, 5 pp. (electronic), 2001.
- [4] Khristo N. Boyadzhiev. Apostol-Bernoulli functions, derivative polynomials and Eulerian polynomials. *Adv. Appl. Discrete Math.*, 1(2):109–122, 2008.
- [5] L. Carlitz. Note on Nörlund's polynomial $B_n^{(z)}$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11:452–455, 1960.
- [6] Morley Davidson and Stephen M. Gagola, Jr. On Rademacher's conjecture and a recurrence relation of Euler. *Quaest. Math.*, 25(3):317–325, 2002.
- [7] Leonard Eugene Dickson. *History of the theory of numbers. Vol. II: Diophantine analysis*. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1966.
- [8] J. W. L. Glaisher. Formulae for partitions into given elements, derived from Sylvester's theorem. *Quart. J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 40:275–348, 1909.
- [9] I. P. Goulden and D. M. Jackson. *Combinatorial enumeration*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1983. With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics.
- [10] Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik. *Concrete mathematics*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA, second edition, 1994. A foundation for computer science.
- [11] F. T. Howard. Congruences and recurrences for Bernoulli numbers of higher order. *Fibonacci Quart.*, 32(4):316–328, 1994.
- [12] Henryk Iwaniec and Emmanuel Kowalski. *Analytic number theory*, volume 53 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [13] Carl Gustav Jacob Jacobi. De resolutione aequationum per series infinitas. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 6:257–286, 1830.
- [14] Warren P. Johnson. The curious history of Faà di Bruno's formula. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 109(3):217–234, 2002.
- [15] Donald E. Knuth. Two notes on notation. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 99(5):403–422, 1992.
- [16] Leonard C. Maximon. The dilogarithm function for complex argument. *R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 459(2039):2807–2819, 2003.

- [17] Augustine O. Munagi. Computation of q -partial fractions. *Integers*, 7:A25, 21, 2007.
- [18] Augustine O. Munagi. The Rademacher conjecture and q -partial fractions. *Ramanujan J.*, 15(3):339–347, 2008.
- [19] Frank W. J. Olver, Daniel W. Lozier, Ronald F. Boisvert, and Charles W. Clark, editors. *Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*. National Institute of Standards and Technology from <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Washington, DC, 2012-03-23.
- [20] Cormac O’Sullivan. Asymptotics for the partial fraction decomposition of the restricted partition generating function.
- [21] Hans Rademacher. A convergent series for the partition function $p(n)$. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 23(2):78–84, 1937.
- [22] Hans Rademacher. *Topics in analytic number theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973. Edited by E. Grosswald, J. Lehner and M. Newman, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 169.
- [23] Andrew V. Sills and Doron Zeilberger. Rademacher’s infinite partial fraction conjecture is (almost certainly) false. 2011. Preprint.
- [24] J. J. Sylvester. On a discovery in the partition of numbers. *Quart. J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 1:81–85, 1857.
- [25] J. J. Sylvester. On the partition of numbers. *Quart. J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 1:141–152, 1857.
- [26] J. J. Sylvester. On subvariants, i.e. semi-invariants to binary quantics of an unlimited order: Excursus on rational fractions and partitions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 5(1):119–136, 1882.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE CUNY GRADUATE CENTER, NEW YORK, NY 10016-4309, U.S.A.

E-mail address: cosullivan@gc.cuny.edu